

APPLICATION NO.

10/035,462

MS-LC340

27581

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

10/23/2001

10/05/2005

7590

710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604

MEDTRONIC, INC.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

P-8851.00 4002

EXAMINER

BOCKELMAN, MARK

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3762

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Chester G. Nelson

				À
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/035,462	NELSON ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark W. Bockelman	3762	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap I for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	ie correspondence address	
WI - E - II - F	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. fiter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- tory reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
1)[\boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02.5	September 2005.		
•	_ ` `	is action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Dispo	sition of Claims			
4)[4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 			
5)[
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-19</u> is/are rejected.				
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
. 8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Applic	ation Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre-	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	lacksquare The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	∂(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		cation No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage	
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
•	* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not rece	eived.	
	4.5			
Attachn		۵۰۰۰۰ میند میند ا	(DTO 442)	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date	
	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9-2-2005 has been entered.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snell USPN 6,249,705 in view of Bowman-Amuah USPN 6,332,163.

Snell teaches a server arrangement comprising a server 102, a storage element (database 103) through which downloaded program updates pass through, and an interface 107 which may be wireless or modem (wires) for communicating with a plurality of network programmers 104_N. The network server can recieve softare updates which can then be down loaded to the network programmers. The network

Page 3

programmers are used to communicate and program a plurality of IMDs 105_N. Security measures established within the server/interface system are described in col. 4 lines 40+ and include the encryption of data and measures to protect patient data. Data integrity is performed so as to ensure the validity of data exchange, which thus intercepts data contaminants that may be included in the data. (i.e. viruses etc.) The transmission protocol may be TCP/IP as well as other types of internet protocol (column 3 lines 30-42).

Snell teaches wireless connections between the server and the IMD programmers but does not specifically mention optical fibers or radio transceivers, however such wireless interface transmissions are regarded as well known in the art. Additionally, Snell discusses the physican establishing a secure connection in the Table which the ordinary skilled artisan would understand as a login password or other similar security device that would deny unauthorized use. Finally, it is notes that to use a server administrator interface to service and to regulate transmission of information through the server was well known at the time of the invention.

While Snell does not teach Gateway server antivirus software, such is conventional as seen in Bowman- Amuah which uses a conventional software, namely "Netscape Proxy Server--high-performance server software for replicating and filtering access to Web content on the Internet or an intranet. Provides access control, URL filtering, and virus scanning." To implemented the Snell system in a conventional internet with a gateway server having virus protect would have been obvious.

Claims 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ji et al 5,623,600 in view of Snell USPN 6,249,705.

Applicant's apparent point of novelty is the provision of a security at the level of the server in an internet based communication system for serving programmable IMD's. Ji et al is cited as demonstrating Servers with data integrity assuring means that scan file for viruses (etc.) to incercept corrupt data were well known at the time of applicant's invention. In some of the embodiments of Snell, the network programmer does not perform any network programming functions (column 5 lines 55-67) but instead receive programming from the network server. Thus the server of Snell would have to have some type of storage device, even if only temporary. To be able to download software updates and then transmit them would require server storage. In addition, Ji (reference numerals 650,652) teaches the temporary storage of such files for virus analysis (figure 6c). To have provided the use of such a server for transmitting data to IMD programmers would have been obvious given that server based IMD programming systems were also known at the time of applicant's invention, which is demonstrated to be true by the citation of Snell 6,249,705.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9-2-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the servers disclosed in Snell and in Ji do not describe storage space for storing programs, however such storage, even if only temporary as explained above, would constitute storage elements.

Conclusion

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/035462. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,462

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWB

October 2, 2005

MARK BOCKELMAN
WILMARY EXAMINER

Page 6